Marine Stewardship Council assessments

UCSL United Certification Systems Limited 1 Anastasi Shoukri Street, Pamelva Court, Office 003, 3035 Limassol, Cyprus Mobile phone: +7-981-195-1778 Email: t.pitieva@ucsl.eu Website: https://ucsl.eu/



UCSL United Certification Systems Limited Fishery Shipowners Association (FSA) East Kamchatka and North Kuril Islands Walleye pollock

MSC Variation Request

1 Marine Stewardship Council variation request

Table X – Variation request

| | · |
|---|--|
| 1 | Date submitted to the MSC |
| | 26 th November 2021 |
| 2 | САВ |
| | UCSL United Certification Systems Limited |
| 3 | Fishery name and certificate number |
| | Fishery Shipowners Association (FSA) East Kamchatka and North Kuril Islands Walleye pollock |
| 4 | Lead auditor or program manager |
| | Geir Honneland |
| 5 | Request prepared by |
| | Tatiana Pitieva, UCSL program manager |
| 6 | Scheme requirement(s) for which variation requested |
| | FCP v2.2 - 7.16 / G7.16 Site Visit: Team Attendance March 2021 Derogation 3: Covid-19 Fishery and Chain of Custody Remote Auditing – Normative Requirements - 1.3.b. – Submit a variation request (as per GCR 4.12) to the MSC to conduct initial assessments remotely. |
| 7 | How many times has a variation for this requirement been accepted for the same assessment of the same fishery? |
| | This is the first variation request submitted for this fishery. |

| Table | Table X – Variation justification | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Proposed variation | | | | |
| | The CAB proposes that the site visit for this fishery be conducted remotely for the assessment team, as opposed to conducting a fully onsite visit in the Russian Federation. | | | | |
| 2 | Additional time requested | | | | |
| | Original deadline date | N/A | | | |
| | Modified deadline date requested | N/A | | | |
| | Length of additional time requested | N/A | | | |
| 3 | Justification | | | | |
| | The updated MSC Covid-19 Pandemic Derogation (effective 28 th March 2021) states that "initial assessments and audits that are to be completed without an on-site visit will require CABs to submit a variation request and risk assessment for approval" and that "If national or local Covid-19 restrictions prevent Conformity Assessment Bodies (CABs), assessors or certificate holders from carrying out on-site audits and assessments of already certified businesses, these may be undertaken remotely." | | | | |
| | The justification for conducting a remote site visit for this assessment is as follows: | | | | |
| | Travel Restrictions: The fishery takes place in Kamchatskiy region, Russia. The site visit is planned to be held in Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy, Russia. At the present moment there are significant restrictions between the place of residence of the assessors (UK, Spain) and Russia. Planning of logistics through other means of travelling and countries will lead to extra expenses to the fishery client. According to the current regulation (Regulation of the Governor of the Kamchatskiy region from April 10, 2020 № 50 "On Amending the decision of the Governor of the Kamchatskiy region from 02.04.2020 № 417", clause 1 and it continues till the end of 2021, document N158 (https: https://www.kamgov.ru/document/frontend-document/view-npa?id=29114), in which citizens in the Kamchatskiy region should avoid attending public events (including business, cultural, entertainment and sporting events), refrain from visiting crowded places Two of the team members for this assessment are based abroad. They have been selected as meeting the relevant competence and qualification requirements substitution of whom will not be organized promptly due to the current lack of Russian residents meeting the same requirements at the same level. | | | | |
| 4 | Implications for assessment | | | | |
| | Remote audit activities will not have any implications for the assessment, including its timeline. Taking into account our CAB's experience with remote fishery assessments within the derogation period during which the active participation of the stakeholders was provided, there is confidence that a high level of stakeholder interaction will be provided here as well and the team will be able to collect all required information. | | | | |
| 5 | Mitigation of the implications for assessment | | | | |
| | See Table 1 Risk assessment | | | | |
| 6 | How many conditions does the fishery | have and will their progress be affected (positive or negative)? | | | |
| | This is the initial full assessment for th | is assessment, no conditions raised at this stage. | | | |
| 7 | What is the status of the current asses | ssment or audit? | | | |

| | The Announcement Comment Draft Report was published on 4 August 2021. The site visit is planned for 7- 10 December 2021. |
|---|---|
| 8 | Further comments |
| | N\A |
| 9 | If applicable, additional information added after the MSC's request |
| | N\A |

| Risk areas | Key risks | Mitigation plan |
|---|--|--|
| Sufficient information to enable an effective and robust fishery assessment process and comprehensive | Ability to verify information remotely | Low risk - the team will use different mechanisms to engage with the clients and stakeholders, such as Skype/Zoom calls, email and phone (where appropriate). The mechanisms are determined to be sufficient and effective in the circumstances of this fishery. Fishery reports and other documents are fully available to the team as they have been requested during the ACDR preparation. Such information as logbooks and other hard copy documents in Russian are available for prompt transmission in electronic, scanned copies to the team: assistance with interpretation of this information will be provided by an independent interpreter. As it's a large-scale fishery, information about it, including the management system, is widely known to the stakeholders via its website and therefore can be easily verified. The physical aspects of the fishery will be verified through the photo and video files made by the client. |
| assessment against the MSC Fisheries Standard | and conduct interviews with | Low risk - UCSL will organize the consultation process according to FCP v.2.2 |
| | Ability to gather information and carry out stakeholder consultations if the Risk Based Framework (annex PF) is being used to assess data-deficient PIs. | s ∠ow risk – RBF may be used for a few PIs only. |

| Availability of information | Low risk – during the preparation of the ACDR the team didn't reveal a large number of information gaps. The client has done a lot to gather all possible information in advance, therefore only two PIs scored less than 60, six PIs preliminarily scored as 60-79, and all other PIs higher than 80. |
|--|---|
| scale, and intensity of the fishery | Low risk – the team has a good understanding of the specified aspects of the fishery under assessment. The team leader thoroughly analyzed the ACDR preparation materials for this fishery. |
| Availability of information and communication technology (ICT). | Low risk – the team has available a wide range of ICT such as their PCs with required software, teleconference facilities allowing audio, video and data sharing. Video and audio during the opening and closing meetings will be recorded if agreed by all parties, taking into account security and confidentiality issues. |
| Competency of assessment teams, auditees, and stakeholders in using ICT. | Low risk – the team, representatives of the CAB and the client has used Skype, Zoom and other ICT during internal communication and during other events, including remote assessments. There were no identified or previously reported problems with their use. The CAB and the assessors understand the risks and opportunities of ICT used and its possible impact on the assessment duration. For this purpose the meetings have been split into a number of shorter sessions to make communication more effective. |
| Ability to clearly exchange information between the assessment team, prospective fishery client and stakeholders and to be understood by all parties when parties speak different languages. | stakeholders will be in Russian. During the site visit, the whole communication between all participants will be maintained by an independent interpreter. |

| | Ability to schedule remote site visit activities at reasonable mutually convenient times when parties are located across different time zones. | Low risk – taking into account the different time zones of all participants locations of this assessment (USA, Europe, Russia), so this site visit has been arranged in a convenient way for all parties to participate in for 3-4 hours each working day. All participants have confirmed their availability for this period. |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|