

Marine Stewardship Council Surveillance Announcement

| Name of Fishery | Western Australian Rock Lobster | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Surveillance level and type | Surveillance level 2, onsite audit for the second surveillance audit | |
| Surveillance | 1st Surveillance | |
| number (tick one) | 2nd Surveillance | X |
| | 3rd Surveillance | |
| | 4th Surveillance | |
| | Other (expedited etc) | |
| Proposed Team Leader | Dr. Sabine Daume (Lead auditor and Principle 2 expert) | |
| Proposed team members | Dr. Daume was the lead auditor during the full re-assessment of the fishery and meets the competency criteria in Annex PC for team leader as follows: • She has an appropriate university degree and more than five years' experience in fisheries research of invertebrate species; • She has passed the MSC team leader training; • She has the required competencies described in Table PC1, section 2; • She has undertaken more than two fishery assessments as a team member in the last five years, and • She has experience in applying different types of interviewing and facilitation techniques and can effectively communicate with clients and other stakeholders. In addition, she has the appropriate skills and experience required to serve as a Principle 2 assessor as described in FCR Annex PC table PC3. | |

| | Bio.inspecta Pty Ltd. confirms that Dr. Daume has no conflicts of interest relation to the fishery under assessment. | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Mr. Alexander (Sandy) Morison, Principle 1 expert. | | |
| | Bio.inspecta Pty. Ltd. confirms that Mr. Morison meets the competency criteria in Annex PC for team leader and team members as follows: | | |
| | He has an appropriate university degree and more than five years' experience in management and research in fisheries; | | |
| | He has passed the MSC team leader training; | | |
| | He has passed the MSC Traceability training module; | | |
| | He has the required competencies described in Table PC1, section 2; | | |
| | • He has undertaken more than two fishery assessments as a team member in the last five years, and | | |
| | • He has experience in applying different types of interviewing and facilitation techniques and is able to effectively communicate with clients and other stakeholders. | | |
| | In addition, he has the appropriate skills and experience required to serve as a Principle 1 assessor as described in FCP Annex PC table PC3. | | |
| | Mr. Morison has no conflicts of interest in relation to the fishery under assessment. | | |
| | Together the team meets all competency requirements laid out in Table PC3 | | |
| Audit/review time and location | The audit will be conducted on the 2nd May 2019 in Perth, Western Australia. | | |
| Timeline/ Justification | No deviation from timeline. The audit was scheduled 4 weeks before the anniversary date. The need was identified to conduct the audit onsite, rather than remotely which was communicated to the client. | | |
| Assessment/ review activities | The annual audits will take into account recent developments and monitor progress on the conditions placed on the fishery for continued certification. The annual review will include participants such as the fishery managers and scientists to gain a full understanding of the current state of the fishery. | | |

The audit team encourages stakeholders not to withhold information and will not permit use of confidential information for reference within an assessment as basis for determination of an assessment

outcome, or as basis for an objection to certification.

Any parties (individuals or organizations) interested in providing input at the on-site meetings or via email, and/or in being directly informed of future stakeholder announcements, please contact us at

seafood@bio-inspecta.ch with:

a. your name and contact details;

b. your association with the fishery; and

c. the issues you would like to discuss (in order for us to arrange appropriate representation).

As a reminder, information is most useful to the assessment team when it is specific and includes constructive suggestions for improving existing situations. Also, supporting documentary evidence for any issues of concern will be greatly appreciated by the assessment team.

Submitted by Dr Sabine Daume

Date: 30th March 2019