

UCSL United Certification Systems Limited

Office 003, Pamelva Court, 1 Anastasi Shoukri Street, 3035, Limassol, Cyprus Mobile phone: +7-981-195-1778

Email: t.pitieva@ucsl.eu andrey.vinnikov@ucsl.eu

UCSL United Certification Systems Limited East Kamchatka and North Kuril Islands Walleye pollock mid-water trawl

MSC Use of the Risk-Based Framework (RBF) in a Fishery Assessment

1 Marine Stewardship Council use of the Risk-Based Framework

Table 1 – Fishery information

1	Fishery name
	Fishery Shipowners Association (FSA) East Kamchatka and North Kuril Islands Walleye pollock mid-water trawl
2	CAB
	UCSL United Certification Systems Limited
3	Date that the proposal to use the RBF is submitted to the MSC
	23 th August 2021
4	Date stakeholder comment period closes on the proposal to use the RBF
	23 th September 2021
5	PI that the RBF is to be applied for
	• 2.1.1, 2.2.1, 2.3.2

6 Justification for use

PI	Species	MSC requirements for use of RBF	Situation in this fishery	Con- clusion
1.1.1	Walleye pollock (Gadus chalcogrammus)	Stock status reference points are available, derived	Reference points from an analytical stock assessment	Not required
2.1.1	Commander squid (Berryteuthis magister)	either from analytical stock assessment or using empirical	Reference points from an analytical stock assessment	Not required
2.2.1	Northern fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis) Short-tailed shearwater (Ardenna tenuirostris) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli) Spotted seal (Phoca largha) Ribbon seal (Histriophoca fasciata)	approaches	IUCN sets empirical reference values which are used to put these populations in a red list category – these can be regarded as empirical stock status reference points	Not required Not required Not required Not required Not required Not required
2.1.1 and 2.2.1	Bycatch species not yet identified for the UoA	Stock status reference points are available, derived either from analytical stock assessment or using empirical approaches	Additional data on catch (retained non-quota species and discards) requested for the site visit may result in new primary or secondary species being identified, which may not have reference points.	May be required
2.3.1	Laysan albatross (<i>Phoebastria</i> <i>immutabilis</i>),	Can the impact of the fishery in assessment on ETP	The IUCN assessments plus the available information on the likely	Not required

	Black-legged kittiwake (Rissa tridactyla) Red-legged kittiwake (Rissa brevirostris) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Steller sealion (Eumetopias jubatus)	species be analytically determined?	number of interactions of the fishery with these species allow the impact of the fishery to be determined with reasonable confidence Information on the interactions with the fishery are not sufficient to determine the impact of the fishery on Steller sealions	Not required Not required Not required
	Short-tailed albatross (<i>Phoebastria albatrus</i>)		The population is sufficiently small that higher confidence is needed on fishery interactions to determine the impact	Required
2.3.1	ETP species not yet identified for the UoA	Can the impact of the fishery in assessment on ETP species be analytically determined?	Additional information from observers requested for the site visit may result in new ETP species being identified, where the impact of the fishery cannot be analytically determined.	May be required
2.4.1	Habitats	Are both of the following applicable: 1 Information on habitats encountered is available 2 Information of impact of fishery on habitats encountered is available	No habitats are encountered – midwater trawl fishery. Thus both of the statements are true.	Not required
2.5.1	Ecosystem	Is information available to support an analysis of the impact of the fishery on the ecosystem?	Extensive information is available on the ecosystem, its structure and function and main components.	Not required

2 Corporate branding

This template may be formatted to comply with the Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) corporate identity. The CAB shall ensure that content and structure follow the template.

Examples of appropriate amendments are:

- a. A title page with the company logo;
- b. A company header and footer used throughout the report;
- c. Replacement of font styles;
- d. Inclusion of contact details for the assessment team members in relation to consultation
- e. Deletion of any sections that are not applicable; and,
- f. Deletion of introductory text or instructions. Appendix 1 Appendix 1

3 Template information and copyright

This document was drafted using the 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.1'.

The Marine Stewardship Council's 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.1' and its content is copyright of "Marine Stewardship Council" - © "Marine Stewardship Council" 2020. All rights reserved.

Template version control						
Version	Date of publication	Description of amendment				
1.0	15 August 2011 15 November 2011	Date of first release. Date of application. Created as one of the Normative Documents to accompany release of MSC Certification Requirements v1.0				
2.0	08 October 2014	Updates in line with release of Fisheries Certification Requirements v2.0				
3.0	17 December 2018	Release alongside Fisheries Certification Process v2.1				
3.01	28 March 2019	Minor document change for usability				
3.1	25 March 2020	Release alongside Fisheries Certification Process v2.2				

A controlled document list of MSC program documents is available on the MSC website (msc.org).

Marine Stewardship Council Marine House 1 Snow Hill London EC1A 2DH United Kingdom

Phone: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8900 Fax: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8901 Email: standards@msc.org