Marine Stewardship Council

Use of the RBF in a fishery assessment form

Please use the unshaded boxes to provide the requested information. Repeat rows 5 to 7 for each PI for which the RBF is to be used.

1	Applicant fishery	Irikla Pasarvair parch gill not Pussia
1	Applicant fishery	Irikla Reservoir perch gill net, Russia
2	Conformity Assessment Body	MRAG Americas
3	Date that the proposal to use the RBF is submitted to the MSC	12 September, 2014
4	Date stakeholder comment period closes on the proposal to use the RBF	18 October, 2014
5	PI that RBF is to be used for	1.1.1
6	Justification for use	Catch data for target species are available. Current information on stock status, stock structure and assessment methods for the target stock are not confirmed. The absence of a formal assessment with the required target and limit reference points would require a RBF approach to determine that serious or irreversible harm could have been identified.
7	Summary of stakeholder comments and CAB responses pertaining to choice to use the RBF	
5	PI that RBF is to be used for	2.1.1
6	Justification for use	Data on retained species are available from the fishery. Current information on stock status and assessment for retained species is not known. The RBF may enable the status of retained species to be appropriately assessed.
7	Summary of stakeholder comments and CAB responses pertaining to choice to use the RBF	
5	PI that RBF is to be used for	2.2.1
6	Justification for use	Data are available to describe all species caught (all defined as retained). The RBF may enable the assessment team to confirm the absence of bycatch species or to confirm their status.
7	Summary of stakeholder comments and CAB responses pertaining to choice to use the	

Document: MSC Use of the RBF in a fishery assessment form	Page 1 of 2
Effective Date: 14 November, 2011	© Marine Stewardship Council, 2011

	RBF	
5	PI that RBF is to be used for	2.4.1
6	Justification for use	Generic information on habitats and gears is available but the RBF will allow the assessment team to evaluate the relationship between habitats and gears in the absence of further scientific analysis.
7	Summary of stakeholder comments and CAB responses pertaining to choice to use the RBF	
5	PI that RBF is to be used for	2.5.1
6	Justification for use	The information on the ecosystem structure of the reservoir is unknown and data deficient. Generic information is available to describe the effect of the fishing method on ecosystems. The RBF may enable the assessment team to determine the relationships between the fishery and local ecosystem.
7	Summary of stakeholder comments and CAB responses pertaining to choice to use the RBF	
8	Date MSC notified of final decision to use RBF	