

Marine Stewardship Council Surveillance Announcement

Name of Fishery	Canada Scotia-Fundy Haddock Fishery	
Surveillance level and type	Surveillance level 6 (Default surveillance level), on-site audit – See Appendix 2	
Surveillance number (tick one)	1st Surveillance	X
	2nd Surveillance	
	3rd Surveillance	
	4th Surveillance	
	Other (expedited etc)	
Proposed Team Leader	Dr. Ivan Mateo (Team leader, responsible for Principle 1 and 2) – Annexe 1 Ivan was the lead assessor for the re-assessment of the Canada Scotia-Fundy haddock fishery. He has over 20 years of experience working with natural population dynamic modelling.	
Proposed team members [remove if not applicable]	Bob Allain (Assessor, responsible of Principle 3) – Annexe 1 Bob was the Principle 3 expert for the re-assessment of the Canada Scotia-Fundy haddock fishery. He has over 30 years of experience in fisheries and aquaculture management, policy development and analysis in Canada.	
Audit/review time and location	On-site - 10 th March 2017 – Halifax, Canada	
Assessment/ review activities	<p>The objectives of the surveillance audit are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To review any changes in the management of the fishery, including regulations, key management or scientific staff or stock evaluation. 2. To evaluate the progress of the fishery against any Conditions of Certification raised during the Main Assessment. 3. To review any developments or changes within the fishery which impact traceability and the ability to segregate MSC from non-MSC products. 4. To review any other significant changes in the fishery. 	

Stakeholder Input into Fishery Surveillance Assessment

MSC certification requires annual surveillance assessments of all certified fisheries. This fishery is now entering the 'annual surveillance' stage of the assessment against the MSC Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Fishing. SAI Global will conduct the surveillance using MSC FCR v.2.0 (effective from April 1, 2015) for process.

A key purpose of this stage is to collect information on the fishery and in particular to speak to representatives of the fishery, fishery management and environmental management bodies.

SAI Global wishes to invite organisations or individuals with a direct interest in this fishery to make written comment or provide information relevant to the surveillance assessment process. To assist in

contributing relevant information and to ensure that any contributions have maximum impact stakeholders should use the [Stakeholder Input into MSC Fishery assessments](#) template alongside the [Stakeholder's Guide to the Marine Stewardship Council](#) when providing input.

We expect to carry out most meetings over the period specified in the "Audit/review time and location" Section of the above Table during which the Assessment Team will be available for direct stakeholder meetings.

If you would like to talk to the assessors, please advise us of your interest by 6th March 2017 giving the following details:

- your name and contact details
- your association with the fishery
- the issues you would like to discuss (in order for us to arrange appropriate representation)

If you not wish to be interviewed you may still submit written information to the Assessment Team by completing the aforementioned [Stakeholder Input into MSC Fishery assessments template](#).

Should you wish to obtain further information on the Marine Stewardship Council, this is available on their web site at <http://www.msc.org>.

Comments/responses should be sent to:

Jean Ragg

Programme Administrator

Email: jean.ragg@saiglobal.com

Tel: +353(0)42 9320912

Fax: +353 (0)42 9386864

Submitted by: Jean Ragg, Programme Administrator

Date: 9th February 2017

Appendix 1: Surveillance Assessment Team

Dr. Ivan Mateo (Lead Assessor, Responsibilities on Principles 1)

Dr. Mateo has over 20 years of experience working with natural resources population dynamic modelling. His specialization is in fish and crustacean population dynamics, stock assessment, evaluation of management strategies for exploited populations, bioenergetics, ecosystem-based assessment, and ecological statistical analysis. Dr. Mateo received a Ph.D. in Environmental Sciences with Fisheries specialization from the University of Rhode Island. He has studied population dynamics of economically important species as well as candidate species for endangered species listing from many different regions of the world such as the Caribbean, the Northeast US Coast, Gulf of California, and Alaska. He has done research with NMFS Northeast Fisheries Science Centre Ecosystem Based Fishery Management on bioenergetics modelling for Atlantic Cod. He also has been working as environmental consultant in the Caribbean doing field work and looking at the effects of industrialization on essential fish habitats and for the Environmental Defense Fund developing population dynamics models for data poor stocks in the Gulf of California. Recently Dr. Mateo worked as National Research Council postdoctoral research associate at the NOAA National Marine Fisheries Services Ted Stevens Marine Research Institute on population dynamic modelling of Alaska sablefish.

R.J. (Bob) Allain (Assessor, Responsibilities on Principle 3)

Mr. Allain is an independent management consultant and president of OceanIQ Management Services. He is a former senior executive with over 30 years of experience with Canada's Federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans in fisheries and aquaculture management, strategic planning, policy development and analysis, program design and delivery, human and financial resources management, media and intergovernmental relations, facilitation and conflict resolution, and mentoring. He has consulted internationally for the Canadian International Development Agency, the (former) International Centre for Ocean Development, the World Bank, and the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. He has participated in, and spoken at, international conferences in the United States, Ireland and Australia and has given over 600 media interviews to national and international news agencies while in government service.

Appendix 2: Surveillance frequency

Table 4.1 : Surveillance level rationale

Year	Surveillance activity	Number of auditors	Rationale
1	On-site audit	2 auditors, on-site	During the re-assessment of the fishery, 5 conditions were attached to the fishery on the following Performance Indicators: 2.1.1 Retained species Outcome, 2.1.2 Retained species Management, 2.2.1 Bycatch species Outcome, 2.2.2 Bycatch species Management, and 2.2.3 Bycatch species Information. SAI Global proposes to have an on-site audit with 2 auditors on-site to ensure that all information is collected.

Table 4.2: Timing of surveillance audit

Year	Anniversary date of certificate	Proposed date of surveillance audit	Rationale
1	1 st May 2017	10 th March 2017	Availability key stakeholders

Table 4.3: Fishery Surveillance Program

Surveillance Level	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Level 6	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit & re-certification site visit