

8950 Martin Luther King Jr. Street N., Suite 202 St. Petersburg, Florida 33702-2211 Tel: (727) 563-9070 Fax: (727) 563-0207 Email: MRAG.Americas@mragamericas.com

President: Andrew A. Rosenberg, Ph.D.

## Announcement of intent to undertake an expedited scope extension assessment of the Irikla Reservoir Perch fishery to include pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) as target (Principle 1) species.

MRAG Americas intends to carry out an expedited assessment of Irikla reservoir perch fishery to add pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) as target (Principle 1) species. As the fishery certified for perch currently catches this as a main retained species, the assessment will primarily entail assessing pikeperch against Principle 1 of the MSC Standard. Rescoring will possibly also be needed for the retained and bycatch components of Principle 2 due to differences in catch composition using a different mesh size of gear and fishing in a different season; the ETP component of Principle 2 also due to different mesh size and fishing season; and some of Principle 3 fishery specific management due to a separate fishery management plan for pikeperch, as identified by a preliminary gap analysis.

The currently certified Irikla reservoir perch fishery has pikeperch assessed under Principle 2 as a "main retained" species in the fishery. The assessment of Principle 2 habitat, and ecosystem and Principle 3 'governance and policy' components leading to the existing certification for perch will remain unchanged when considering pikeperch for Principle 1, as fishing areas, vessels, and overarching management will not change.

The preliminary gap analysis has identified the following components as held in common between the two assessments: Principle 2 Habitats and Ecosystems, and Principle 3 governance and policy. However, the assessment of the retained and bycatch species and ETP components have to be considered for amendment when pikeperch are targeted within this fishery. Those components found not to be held in common are as follows: Principle 1 Outcome and Harvest Strategy, Principle 2 Retained and Bycatch species (possibly), Principle 2 ETP species, and Principle 3 Fishery Specific Management. We will make a final determination of components needing assessment following the information gathering and site visit phase of the scope extension assessment.

Table 1: Preliminary overview of planned treatment of each PI in the expedited assessment of the Irikla reservoir perch gillnet fishery to include pikeperch as a P1 target species.

| Performance Indicator               | Consideration during scope extension   |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1.1.1. Stock Status                 | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 1.1.2. Reference Points             | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 1.1.3. Stock Rebuilding             | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 1.2.1. Harvest Strategy             | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 1.2.2. Harvest Control Rules and    | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| Tools                               |  |
| 1.2.3. Information and Monitoring   | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 1.2.4. Assessment of stock status   | New assessment of pikeperch  |
| 2.1.1. Retained species outcome     | New assessment of retained species as a result of removing   |
|                                     | pikeperch as a retained species and considering them under   |
|                                     | Principle 1 as well as potentially different catch composition   |
|                                     | while fishing for these targets.   |
| 2.1.2. Retained species             | Possible new assessment depending on changes to catch  |
| management                          | composition from larger gillnet (50 – 70 mm) and fishing   |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.1.3. Retained species information | Possible new assessment depending on changes to catch  |
|                                     | composition from larger gillnet (50 – 70 mm) and fishing   |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.2.1. Bycatch species outcome      | Possible new assessment depending on changes to catch  |
|                                     | composition from larger gillnet (50 – 70 mm) and fishing   |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.2.2. Bycatch species management   | Possible new assessment depending on changes to catch  |
|                                     | composition from larger gillnet (50 – 70 mm) and fishing   |
| 2.2.2 Bycatch spacias information   | season.  |
| 2.2.3. Bycatch species information  | Possible new assessment depending on changes to catch composition from larger gillnet (50 – 70 mm) and fishing |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.3.1. ETP species outcome          | New assessment of ETP due to change in fishing gear and  |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.3.2. ETP species management       | New assessment of ETP due to change in fishing gear and  |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.3.2. ETP species information      | New assessment of ETP due to change in fishing gear and  |
|                                     | season.  |
| 2.4.1. Habitat outcome              | No new assessment  |
| 2.4.2. Habitat management           | No new assessment  |
| 2.4.3. Habitat information          | No new assessment  |
| 2.5.1. Ecosystem outcome            | No new assessment  |
| 2.5.2. Ecosystem management         | No new assessment  |
| 2.5.3. Ecosystem information        | No new assessment  |
| 3.1.1. Legal and customary          | No new assessment  |
| framework                           |  |

MRAG Americas Announcement of Intent to undertake an expedited assessment of the Irikla Reservoir Perch fishery to include pikeperch as a P1 species 2

| 3.1.2. Consultation roles and responsibilities | No new assessment  |
|--|--|
| 3.1.3. Long term objectives                    | No new assessment  |
|  |  |
| 3.1.4. Incentives for sustainable              | No new assessment  |
| fishing  |  |
| 3.2.1. Fishery specific objectives             | New assessment due to different FMPs for pikeperch (TAC        |
|  | species)   |
| 3.2.2. Decision making processes               | No new assessment. Decision processes are the same.            |
| 3.2.3. Compliance and enforcement              | New assessment due to possible differences in compliance and   |
|  | enforcement with differences in fishing activity (gear/season) |
|  | while targeting pikeperch                                      |
| 3.2.4. Research plan                           | New assessment due to different FMP for pikeperch (TAC         |
|  | species)   |
| 3.2.5. Monitoring and management               | New assessment due to different FMP for pikeperch (TAC         |
| performance evaluation                         | species)   |