

# **Marine Stewardship Council Surveillance Announcement**

Name of Fishery	US Acadian redfish, haddoo	k and pollock otter trawl fishery		
Surveillance level and type	First On-site Annual Surveillance Following the information in the PCR The determination of the surveillance level is based on Table C3 and C4 of the MSC CR requirement. The score was calculated by adding scores from sections 1-4of the report in Table. The fishery raised a level of surveillance of 2 or more. Therefore, the Assessment team will conduct an on-site visit surveillance.			
Surveillance number	1 <sup>st</sup> Surveillance	х		
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Surveillance			
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Surveillance			
	4 <sup>th</sup> Surveillance			
	Other (expedited etc.)			
Proposed Team Leader	It is proposed Dr. Virginia Polonio, a member of SAI Global's internal will lead the Assessment Team. Virginia meets the Fishery Team Leader Qualification and Competency Criteria outlined in CR, she has:  A degree in a relevant subject  Well in excess of 5 years' fisheries experience  Passed MSC's fishery team leader training within the last 3 years  Extensive experience leading MSC assessments  Has undertaken well in excess of MSC fishery assessment or surveillance site visits as a team member in the last 5 years  Experience in applying different types of interviewing and facilitation techniques.  Virginia will be in charge of coordinating the other Assessment Team members, participating in the assessment and be responsible for the completion of the assessment in accordance with Certification procedures.  In addition to leading the Assessment Team Virginia will be the team's expert on Principle 2. She meets the Principle 2 components of the Fishery Team Qualification and Competency Criteria in CR Annex PC; she has  5 years or more experience applying relevant techniques to evaluate fishing impacts on Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems and study diverse finfish species biology and ecology (see C.V Appendix 1);			
	Virginia does not have any conflicts of interest in relation to the fishery under assessment; her CV is provided in Appendix 1.			
Proposed team members	<ul> <li>R.J. (Bob) Allain (Assessor) – Responsibility for Principle 3</li> <li>Bob meets the Fishery Team Member Qualification and Competency Criteria outlined in CR Annex PC; he has: <ul> <li>A degree in a relevant subject</li> <li>5 years' fisheries experience</li> <li>Passed MSC's fishery team member training within the last 3 years</li> <li>5 years more experience as a practicing fishery manager and/or fishery/ policy analyst.</li> <li>Two assignments in the country or region in which the fishery under assessment is based in the last 10 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bob does not have any conflicts of interest in relation to the fishery under assessment; his CV</li> </ul>			
	is provided in Appendix 1.	mets of interest in relation to the fishery under assessment, his ev		



	Jerry Ennis (Assessor) – Responsibility for Principle 1			
	Jerry meets the Fishery Team Member Qualification and Competency Criteria outlined in C Annex PC; he has:			
	A degree in a relevant subject			
	<ul> <li>5 years' fisheries experience</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Passed MSC's fishery team member training within the last 3 years</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>5 years more experience as a practicing fisheries stock assessment, fisheries biology and ecology</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Assignments in the country or region in which the fishery under assessment is based in the last 10 years</li> </ul>			
	Jerry does not have any conflicts of interest in relation to the fishery under assessment; his CV is provided in Appendix 1.			
Audit/review time	It is planned that the site visit take place from July 16 <sup>th</sup> 2018 to July 18 <sup>th</sup> 2018 at the following			
and location	main locations:			
	<ul> <li>July 16<sup>th</sup>, Woods Hole, Falmouth, Massachusetts, EE. UU.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>July 17<sup>th</sup>, Gloucester, Massachusetts, EE. UU.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>July 18<sup>th</sup>, Newburyport, Massachusetts, EE. UU.</li> </ul>			
	Stakeholders with an interest in this fishery are invited to make written comment or provide			
	information relevant to the assessment process. Stakeholders are also invited to meet with the			
	Assessment Team in person and/or remotely. For further details on Stakeholder input into the MSC Assessment Process below.			
Assessment/review	The objectives of the surveillance audit are:			
activities				
	1. To review any changes in the management of the fishery, including regulations, key			
	management or scientific staff or stock evaluation.			
	2. To evaluate the progress of the fishery against any Conditions of Certification raised during			
	the initial Assessment.			
	3. To review any developments or changes within the fishery which impact traceability and the			
	ability to segregate MSC from non-MSC products.			
	4. To review any other significant changes in the fishery.			



## **Stakeholder Input into Fishery Surveillance Assessments**

MSC certification requires annual surveillance assessments of all certified fisheries. This fishery is now entering the 'annual surveillance' stage of the assessment against the MSC Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Fishing. A key purpose of this stage is to collect information on the fishery and in particular to speak to representatives of the fishery, fishery management and environmental management bodies.

SAI Global wishes to invite organisations or individuals with a direct interest in this fishery to make written comment or provide information relevant to the surveillance assessment process. To assist in contributing relevant information and to ensure that any contributions have maximum impact stakeholders should use the <a href="Stakeholder Input into MSC Fishery assessments">Stakeholder Input into MSC Fishery assessments</a> template when providing input.

All stakeholder's guidance documents and forms can be access by clicking on the link below: <a href="https://www.msc.org/documents/get-certified/stakeholders">https://www.msc.org/documents/get-certified/stakeholders</a>

We expect to carry out most meetings over the period specified in the "Audit/review time and location" Section of the above Table during which the Assessment Team will be available for direct stakeholder meetings.

# If you would like to talk to the assessors, please advise us of your interest by Friday 13<sup>th</sup> July 2018 giving the following details:

- your name and contact details
- your association with the fishery
- the issues you would like to discuss (in order for us to arrange appropriate representation)

If you not wish to be interviewed you may still submit written information to the Assessment Team by completing the aforementioned <u>Stakeholder Input into MSC Fishery assessments template</u>.

Should you wish to obtain further information on the Marine Stewardship Council, this is available on their web site at <a href="http://www.msc.org">http://www.msc.org</a>.

#### Comments/responses should be sent to:

#### **Niamh Connor**

Client Services Administrator

Email: <u>niamh.connor@saiglobal.com</u>

Tel: +353(0)42 9320912 Fax: +353 (0)42 9386864

Submitted by: Niamh Conor Date: 15<sup>th</sup> June 2018



## **Appendix 1: Surveillance Assessment Team**

#### Team leader and team member responsible for P2

**Dr. Virginia Polonio**, has a degree in Environmental Sciences (B.S.c. University of Cádiz). She has a Master degree (M.Sc. University of Cádiz) in Fisheries Management and Aquaculture. She obtained her PhD in Biodiversity and Natural resources at the University of Oviedo and during her PhD she gained experience in the field of research of fisheries and Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs). During her PhD, she gained skills in the fields of benthic ecology and management of ecosystems.

She has participated in the Spanish National Basic Plan of Data to collect and evaluate the fishing activities in ICES and CECAF areas where Spanish fleets realize theirs activities. She carried out feeding habit and age/size studies of *Pagellus Bogaraveo* and others commercial species (hake, anchovy, sharks, mackerel, squid, etc.) to define trophic and predation levels of commercial species in the Gulf of Cadiz and the Strait of Gibraltar.

She has worked on several full assessments such as ISF Capelin, ISF Mackerel, CSHMAC Herring, Cantabrian Sardine, North Atlantic Albacore, Squat lobster, Blue sharks and Swordfish, among others as a Lead Assessor and Team member responsible for P2. She has also participated in Surveillances and pre-assessments acquiring experience in the MSC certification.

She is a full-time employee at SAI Global and she will be Lead assessor and P2 expert in this audit.

#### - Team member responsible for P1

**Dr. Jerry Ennis**, Following undergraduate and graduate degrees at Memorial University of Newfoundland in the 1960s, Dr. Ennis completed a Ph.D. in marine biology at University of Liverpool in the early 1970s. He retired in 2005 following a 37-year research career with the Science Branch of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. His extensively published work has focused primarily on lobster fishery and population biology and on various aspects of larval, juvenile and adult lobster behaviour and ecology in Newfoundland waters. Throughout his career, Dr. Ennis was heavily involved in the review and formulation of scientific advice for management of shellfish in Atlantic Canada as well as the advisory/consultative part of managing the Newfoundland lobster fishery.

#### - Team member responsible for P3

**R. J. (Bob) Allain** is the president and principal consultant of OceanIQ Management Services Inc. He is a former senior executive with over 30 years' experience with Canada's Federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans in fisheries management, strategic policy development and analysis, program design and delivery, human and financial resources management, media and inter-governmental relations, facilitation and conflict resolution, and mentoring. He has consulted internationally for the Canadian International Development Agency, the (former) International Centre for Ocean Development, the World Bank, and the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Bob has participated in several Atlantic Canadian pelagic, demersal, and crustacean fishery assessments under the MSC Standard since 2010 as a P3 expert, auditor, client representative, and, most recently, as a peer reviewer.



# **Appendix 2: Surveillance frequency**

Table 4.1: Surveillance level rationale

Year	Surveillance activity	Number of auditors	Rationale
1	On-site visit	3 auditors	The fishery has raised 2 conditions in P2 the conditions affect the measures in place to prove that the fishery does not hinder the recovery of some retained species such as cod and some flounder species. New measures can be in place that can affect the P3 and the evaluation of the stock of 5 species should be reviewed. For all of that it's necessary to have an assessors for each principle. Further the surveillance has been delayed and the load of information to review could be relevant.

### Table 4.2: Timing of surveillance audit

Year	Anniversary date	Proposed date of	Rationale	
	of certificate	surveillance audit		
2	5 <sup>th</sup> July 2018	16 <sup>th</sup> July 2018	The 1 <sup>st</sup> surveillance audit will be carried out on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> anniversary of the fishery certificate. This delay is due changes within the client group which resulted in the fishery certificate holder not being in a position to allow SAI Global hold a surveillance audit within the required timeframe. This was followed by a period of certificate suspension as the certificate holder had still not committed to allowing SAI Global to conduct the surveillance audit as required.  On 7 <sup>th</sup> April 2018, the certificate holder entered an agreement with SAI Global authorising SAI Global to conduct the 1 <sup>st</sup> surveillance audit of the fishery. As this agreement effectively addressed the reason for suspensions, SAI Global re-instated the fishery's certificate and started planning the surveillance audit.	

#### **Table 4.3: Fishery Surveillance Program**

Surveillance score	Surveillance level	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
2 or more	Normal Surveillance	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit	On-site surveillance audit