

## Marine Stewardship Council - Variation Request Form V1.3

<b>Date submitted to MSC</b>	<i>13 Mar 2014</i>
<b>Conformity Assessment Body</b>	Intertek Fisheries Certification
<b>Fishery Name/CoC Certificate Number</b>	Dutch Oyster Association Oyster Fishery
<b>Lead Auditor/Programme Manager</b>	Andy Hough
<b>Scheme requirement(s) to vary from</b>	27.22.4.1
<b>Is this variation sought in order to undertake an expedited P1 assessment (CR annex CL)?</b>	<i>No</i>

### 1. Proposed variation

*Two team members are proposed – Andy Hough and Andy Brand – both members of the original assessment team and considered best placed to review the fishery.*

*However, Andy Brand is recovering from an operation, and delays in this will prevent him flying on this occasion.*

*We therefore propose to keep this team of two people, but that Andy Hough conduct the on-site visit alone and then liaises with Dr Brand over production of the surveillance report(s).*

### 2. Rationale/Justification

*A team of two (original assessment team members) would be utilised, but only one on-site.*

### 3. Implications for assessment (required for fisheries assessment variations only)

*There are no negative implications, Andy Hough has the technical expertise to review the relevant aspects of the fisheries and to inform Andy Brand over specific technical issues. Both team members would co-author the report*

### 4. Have the stakeholders of this fishery assessment been informed of this request? (required for fisheries assessment variations only)

N, this will occur as and when the variation is granted

### 5. Further Comments

*Please include any further relevant information.*

**6. Confidential Information**

None

## EXPEDITED PRINCIPLE 1 ASSESSMENT FOR MAIN RETAINED PRINCIPLE 2 STOCKS

**7. Main retained Principle 2 stock(s) for which an expedited Principle 1 assessment is sought**

*Please list the stocks for which an expedited P1 assessment is sought. These must be stocks assessed in the existing certified fishery as 'main retained species'*

**8. Evaluation of potential impact on Principle 2**

*If a P2 species is certified under P1, there could be potential impacts to bycatch, habitat, or ecosystem scoring, depending on any altered persecution of the fishery that may arise. Please provide a discussion of this potential for the specific fishery in question here.*

**9. Evaluation of potential impact on Principle 3**

*If a P2 species is certified under P1, there could be potential impacts to the scoring of the fishery-specific management system, depending on how specific the initial evaluation of these impacts was to the initial Principle 1 stock(s). Please provide a discussion of this potential for the specific fishery in question here.*

**10. Based on the potential impacts identified in 8 and 9, please list any additions to the expedited assessment requirements given in Annex CL that will be necessary to ensure the fishery is accurately assessed against Principles 1, 2, and 3 with the proposed additional P1 stocks.**

*These could include rescoring of some P2 and/or P3 performance indicators, additional team member or peer reviewer involvement, etc.*