



UCSL United Certification Systems Limited
Office 003, Pamelva Court,
1 Anastasi Shoukri Street, 3035, Limassol, Cyprus
Mobile phone: +7-981-195-1778
Email: t.pitieva@ucsl.eu
andrey.vinnikov@ucsl.eu

UCSL United Certification Systems Limited
Fishery Shipowners Association (FSA) Western
Bering Sea Walleye pollock
MSC Use of the Risk-Based Framework (RBF)
in a Fishery Assessment

1 Marine Stewardship Council use of the Risk-Based Framework

Table X – Fishery information

1	Fishery name
	Fishery Shipowners Association (FSA) Western Bering Sea Walleye pollock
2	CAB
	UCSL United Certification Systems Limited
3	Date that the proposal to use the RBF is submitted to the MSC
	23 th June 2021
4	Date stakeholder comment period closes on the proposal to use the RBF
	23 th July 2021
5	PI that the RBF is to be applied for
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.2.1 Secondary species outcome • 2.3.1 ETP species outcome
6	Justification for use
	<p>At this ACDR stage, the Risk-Based Framework is triggered for Secondary species outcome (PI 2.2.1) and ETP species outcome (PI 2.3.1). Following FCP v2.2, 7.7.3 and Table 3, the RBF is being triggered for the reasons:</p> <p>1) The main secondary species identified thus far, Laysan albatross, northern fulmar, Short-tailed shearwater and Black-legged kittiwake do not have biologically-based limits available, derived either from analytical stock assessment or using empirical approaches. As per Table 3 (FCP2.2), the RBF is therefore triggered for Secondary species outcome.</p> <p>2) The ETP species Red-legged kittiwake do not have biologically-based limits available, derived either from analytical stock assessment or using empirical approaches. As per Table 3 (FCP2.2), the RBF is therefore triggered for ETP outcome.</p> <p>Stakeholder comments on the use of the RBF need to be considered (FCP v2.2, Annex PF2.1.1.e). Both the stakeholder comments and the CAB comments will be included in the PCDR (FCP v2.2, 7.20.5).</p>

2 Corporate branding

This template may be formatted to comply with the Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) corporate identity. The CAB shall ensure that content and structure follow the template.

Examples of appropriate amendments are:

- a. A title page with the company logo;
- b. A company header and footer used throughout the report;
- c. Replacement of font styles;
- d. Inclusion of contact details for the assessment team members in relation to consultation
- e. Deletion of any sections that are not applicable; and,
- f. Deletion of introductory text or instructions.

3 Template information and copyright

This document was drafted using the 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.1'.

The Marine Stewardship Council's 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.1' and its content is copyright of "Marine Stewardship Council" - © "Marine Stewardship Council" 2020. All rights reserved.

Template version control		
Version	Date of publication	Description of amendment
1.0	15 August 2011 15 November 2011	Date of first release. Date of application. Created as one of the Normative Documents to accompany release of MSC Certification Requirements v1.0
2.0	08 October 2014	Updates in line with release of Fisheries Certification Requirements v2.0
3.0	17 December 2018	Release alongside Fisheries Certification Process v2.1
3.01	28 March 2019	Minor document change for usability
3.1	25 March 2020	Release alongside Fisheries Certification Process v2.2

A controlled document list of MSC program documents is available on the MSC website (msc.org).

Marine Stewardship Council
Marine House
1 Snow Hill
London EC1A 2DH
United Kingdom

Phone: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8900
Fax: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8901
Email: standards@msc.org