

Lloyd's Register 6 Redheughs Rigg South Gyle Edinburgh, EH12 9DQ United Kingdom

T +44 (0)13 1619 2100 E fisheries-ca@lr.org www.lr.org

## Acoura Marine t/a Lloyd's Register

# AGAC four oceans Integral Purse Seine Tropical Tuna Fishery

## MSC Use of the Risk-Based Framework (RBF) in a Fishery Assessment

#### 1 Notification - Site Visit using RBF

This fishery is entering the 'information gathering' stage of the assessment against the MSC Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Fishing.

A key purpose of the site visit is to collect information and speak to stakeholders with an interest in the fishery. For those parts of the assessment involving the MSC's Risk Based Framework (RBF, see <a href="http://www.msc.org/about-us/standards/methodologies/fam/msc-risk-based-framework">http://www.msc.org/about-us/standards/methodologies/fam/msc-risk-based-framework</a>), we will be using a stakeholder-driven, qualitative analysis during the site visit. To achieve a robust outcome from this consultative approach, we rely heavily on participation of a broad range of stakeholders with a balance of knowledge of the fishery. We encourage any stakeholders with experience or knowledge of the fishery to participate in these meetings.

There is now a consultation period which will complete in 60 days from the date of this announcement (20<sup>th</sup> October 2020 at 5pm UTC) for stakeholders to comment on the PIs and rationale proposed on the following page.

The following performance indicators may be scored using the RBF:

- 2.2.1 Secondary species outcome
- 2.3.1 ETP outcome

The fishery assessment team will visit the client remotely during commencing 21<sup>st</sup> October 2020. If you would like to talk to the assessors, please advise us of your interest at your earliest convenience before the site visit, at the very latest 17.00 UTC on the 20th October 2020, giving the following details:

- your name and contact details;
- your association with the fishery;
- the issues you would like to discuss (in order for us to arrange appropriate representation).

Enquiries should be sent in the first instance to:

#### LR Fisheries Department - fisheries-ca@lr.org

Lloyd's Register, LR and any variants are trading names of Lloyd's Register Group Limited, its subsidiaries and affiliates.

Acoura Marine: trading as Lloyd's Register (Reg. no. SC313289).

Registered office: 50 Lothian Road, Festival Square, Edinburgh, EH3 9WJ. Registered in Scotland. A member of the Lloyd's Register group.

www.lr.or



### 2 Marine Stewardship Council use of the Risk-Based Framework

Table 1 – Fishery information		
1	Fishery name	
	AGAC four oceans Integral Purse Seine Tropical Tuna Fishery	
2	CAB	
	Acoura Marine t/a Lloyd's Register	
3	Date that the proposal to use the RBF is submitted to the MSC	
	21st August 2020	
4	Date stakeholder comment period closes on the proposal to use the RBF	
	20 <sup>th</sup> October 2020	
5	PI that the RBF is to be applied for	
	PI 2.3.1 and PI 2.2.1 across all four oceans (all four ACDRs)	
6	Justification for use	
	As per FCP v2.1, 7.7.3 and Table 3, the justification for potentially needing to use RBF is as follows.:	
	PI 2.2.1 – biologically based limits are not available, derived either from analytical stock assessment or using empirical approaches (see Principle 2 background section and scoring of PI 2.2.1 in each ACDR).	
	PI 2.3.1 – the impact of the fishery in assessment on ETP species be analytically determined (oceanic whitetip, mantas and mobulids, silky shark, see Principle 2 background section and scoring of PI 2.3.1 in each ACDR). Whereas the extent of interactions of the UoA with ETP species is known (e.g. available information on catch, bycatch and fate) and direct effects (number/volume) without an understanding of the relative contribution of the UoA to mortality or an indication of stock status or size for the ETP species under assessment it is not possible to assess the impact of the UoA analytically with respect to those ETP species stock status.	
	Stakeholder comments on the use of the RBF need to be considered (FCP v2.1 Annex PF2.1.1.e). The stakeholder comment and CAB response shall be included in the Public Comment Draft Report (FCP v2.1 7.20.6.a).	



### **Template information and copyright**

This document was drafted using the 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.01'.

The Marine Stewardship Council's 'MSC Use of the RBF in a Fishery Assessment Form v3.01' and its content is copyright of "Marine Stewardship Council" - © "Marine Stewardship Council" 2019. All rights reserved.

Template version control			
Version	Date of publication	Description of amendment	
1.0	15 August 2011 15 November 2011	Date of first release. Date of application.  Created as one of the Normative Documents to accompany release of MSC Certification Requirements v1.0	
2.0	08 October 2014	Updates in line with release of Fisheries Certification Requirements v2.0	
3.0	17 December 2018	Release alongside Fisheries Certification Process v2.1	
3.01	28 March 2018	Minor document change for usability	

A controlled document list of MSC program documents is available on the MSC website (msc.org)

Senior Policy Manager Marine Stewardship Council Marine House 1 Snow Hill London EC1A 2DH United Kingdom

Phone: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8900 Fax: + 44 (0) 20 7246 8901 Email: standards@msc.org