

Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

NZRO Gulf of Riga herring (Clupea harengus membras) and sprat (Sprattus sprattus) trawl fishery

MSC Variation Request

Table 1 – Variation request					
1	Date submitted to the MSC				
	19/07/2019				
2	CAB				
	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
3	Fishery name and certificate number or CoC certificate number				
	NZRO Gulf of Riga herring (Clupea harengus membras) and sprat (Sprattus sprattus) trawl fishery				
4	Lead auditor or program manager				
	Macarena García				
5	Request prepared by				
	Macarena García				
6	Scheme requirement(s) for which variation requested				
	FCR v2.0 7.10.2.2: 'The team shall not assess any stock not originally proposed as P1 species in P1'				
7	How many times has a variation for this requirement been accepted for the same assessment of the same fishery?				
	None				

Table 2 – Variation justification

1 Proposed variation

The central Baltic herring stock (subdivisions 25-27, 28.2, 29, and 32) was not included in the announcement and it shall be included in the assessment as a P1 stock. There is a need to add a third UoA to the existing ones (Baltic sprat and gulf or Riga herring).

2 Additional time requested

· ·	
Original deadline date	NA
Modified deadline date requested	NA
Length of additional time requested	NA

3 Justification

The assessed herring fishery is restricted to the Gulf of Riga (SD 28.1).

Two different UoAs were defined at the time of the announcement, depending on the target stock: Baltic sprat (subdivisions 22-32) and Gulf of Riga herring (subdivision 28.1).

The assessment team skipped the fact that, despite ICES provides a separate assessment and advice for the Gulf of Riga herring stock, a mixture of central Baltic herring (subdivisions 25-27, 28.2, 29, and 32) and the Gulf of Riga herring (subdivision 28.1) is caught in the Gulf of Riga (28.1). Actually, the TAC proposed for the Gulf of Riga area is based on the advised catch for the Gulf of Riga herring stock, plus the assumed catch of herring from the central Baltic stock taken in the Gulf of Riga. In order to do so, ICES estimates annual catches of the central Baltic herring caught in the Gulf of Riga (see table below).

Table 1. Total catches of herring in the gulf of Riga (GoR) and estimates of how they correspond to either the gulf of Riga stock (GOR) or to the central Baltic stock (CBH). Source: developed by BV based on the latest ICES advice on the herring SD28.1 (Gulf of Riga)

	total herring catches in GoR	catches GoR herring	catches CBH in GoR	Contribution of the CBH to total herring catches in the GoR
2003	41000	40803	197	0,48%
2004	39300	39115	185	0,47%
2005	38000	32225	5775	15,20%
2006	40000	31232	8768	21,92%
2007	37500	33742	3758	10,02%
2008	36100	31137	4963	13,75%
2009	34900	32554	2346	6,72%
2010	36400	30174	6226	17,10%
2011	32700	29639	3061	9,36%
2012	30600	28115	2485	8,12%
2013	30600	26511	4089	13,36%
2014	30700	26253	4447	14,49%
2015	38800	32851	5949	15,33%
2016	34900	30865	4035	11,56%
2017	31100	28058	3042	9,78%

	2018 TOTAL	28999 561599	25747 499021	3252 62578	11,21%				
	Based on the above it is clear that the central Baltic herring stock shall also be included in the assessment.								
	The central Baltic herring cannot be assessed as a IPI stock since its contribution in particular year is above 15% (see table 1) and it is certified separately.								
	Thus, the central Baltic herring shall be assessed as a P1 stock and a new Unit of Assessment shall be added to the existing ones.								
4	If a fishery assessment, implications for assessment								
	If the request is accepted the CAB will assess the central Baltic herring as a P1 stock. The team is currently busy finishing the PDR, therefore the modifications will be included in the PDR (and subsequent reports).								
5	If a fishery	assessment, mitiç	ation of the implica	tions for assessme	nt				
	The team is currently busy finishing the PDR. ICES provides a separate assessment for the central Baltic assessment, all the necessary information to assess this stock is available. Further, the scores adopted by the team assessing the overlapping fishery 'Denmark, Estonia, Germany, Sweden Baltic herring and sprat fishery' (currently under assessment) were shared and discussed with the BV team as a result of the recent harmonisation meetings carried out between BV and Lloyd's Register. No other mitigation measures are considered necessary.								
6	If a fishery assessment, how many conditions does the fishery have and will their progress be affected (positive or negative)?								
	The fishery	is still under asse	essment						
7	What is the	status of the curr	ent assessment or	audit?					
	The fishery is still under assessment. The team is currently busy finishing the PDR.								
8	Further comments								
	No								
9	If applicable	e, additional inforr	mation added after t	he MSC's request					